US-PAT-NO: 6751348

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6751348 B2

TITLE: Automated detection of

pornographic images

DATE-ISSUED: June 15, 2004

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME CITY

STATE ZIP CODE COUNTRY

Buzuloiu; Vasile Bucuresti

N/A N/A RO

Cuic; Mihai Bucuresti

N/A N/A RO

Beuran; Razvan Thoiry

N/A N/A FR

Grecu; Horia Bucuresti

N/A N/A RO

Drimbarean; Alexandru Co. Galway

N/A N/A IE

Corcoran; Peter Cregg

N/A N/A IE

Steinberg; Eran San Francisco

CA N/A N/A

APPL-NO: 09/ 823139

DATE FILED: March 29, 2001

US-CL-CURRENT: 382/165, 382/218 , 382/224 ,

382/260

ABSTRACT:

A method of detecting pornographic images, wherein a color reference

database is prepared in LAB color space defining a plurality of colors

representing relevant portions of a human body. A questionable image is

selected, and sampled pixels are compared with the color reference database.

Areas having a matching pixel are subjected to a texture analysis to determine

if the pixel is an isolated color or if other comparable pixels surround it; a

condition indicating possible skin. If an area of possible skin is found, the

questionable image is classified as objectionable. A further embodiment

includes preparation of a questionable image reference shape database defining objectionable shapes. An image with a detected area of possible skin is compared with the shape database, and depending on the results of the shape analysis, a predefined percentage of the images are classified for manual

22 Claims, 13 Drawing figures

review.

Exemplary Claim Number: 1

Number of Drawing Sheets: 13

----- KWIC -----

each similar to steps 10 and

Detailed Description Text - DETX (5):

FIG. 2 of the drawing illustrates an alternate embodiment of the color detection and shape detection steps of the present invention. A color detection process 40 includes a series of steps,

```
12 of FIG. 1 with each detection step (44, 76 and
82) focussing on a particular
color type. A shape detection process 42 includes
separate shape detection
steps 48, 56 and 64, each similar to the shape
detection 14 of FIG. 1. Each
process (48, 56, 64) is for a different type of
shape. Input 43 represents the
reception/selection of a questionable image that
needs to be analyzed. Block
44 represents the detection of a particular color
type, such as "white" and
involves the operations of blocks 10 and 12 as
described in FIG. 1. If the
pixel color is determined to be "skin" 46, the
image is sent to a first shape
detection process indicated for example as "face
detection" of block 48 wherein
steps similar to blocks 26 and 28 of FIG. 1 are
performed. If the image is
detected as a "face" 50, the image is classified as
"portrait" and a manual
check/inspection is done only infrequently (block
52). If the image is not a
"face" 54, the image is analyzed to determine if it
is a body part (block 56)
i.e., other than a face. If it is not a body part
(58), the image is
classified as a "landscape", and this type is only
inspected occasionally
(block 60) i.e. only a small percentage of these
images are inspected manually.
If the image is a body part (62), a pose detection
is done to determine if
there is an erotic position (block 64). If it is
determined that the pose is
not erotic (66), this image is classified as a
"swim suit picture" and the
result of the detection may be a "parental
quidance" notice attached (block
68). If the pose detection 64 indicates that the
```

image is erotic 70, it is classified as objectionable and 100% manual inspection is required prior to allowing access (block 72).